arXiv:1609.05863v1 [math.NT] 16 Sep 2016

Multiple zeta values and Euler sums

Ce Xu*

School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University
Xiamen 361005, P.R. China

Abstract In this paper, by using the method of iterated integral representations of series, we establish some expressions of series involving harmonic numbers and Stirling numbers of the first kind in terms of multiple zeta values. Furthermore, we can obtain some closed form representations of sums of products of harmonic numbers through Riemann zeta values and linear sums, and some explicit relationships between multiple zeta star values and multiple zeta values are established.

Keywords Multiple zeta value; multiple zeta star value; multiple harmonic number; multiple star harmonic number; Euler sum.

AMS Subject Classifications (2010): 40B05; 33B15; 11M06; 11M41

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ denote, respectively the sets of real and complex numbers and let $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ be the set of natural numbers, and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be the set of positive integers and $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} := \{2, 3, 4, \cdots\}$. For any multi-index $\mathbf{S} := (s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_k)$ $(s_i \in \mathbb{C},\ k \in \mathbb{N},\ \Re(s_1) > 1)$, the general multiple zeta value $\zeta(\mathbf{S})$ and the multiple zeta star value $\zeta^\star(\mathbf{S})$ are defined, respectively, by convergent series ([5,23,36])

$$\zeta(\mathbf{S}) = \zeta(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_k) := \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} n_2^{s_2} \cdots n_k^{s_k}}, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\zeta^\star(\mathbf{S}) = \zeta^\star(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_k) := \sum_{n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} n_2^{s_2} \cdots n_k^{s_k}}. \tag{1.2}$$

where $s_1 + \cdots + s_k$ is called the weight and k is the multiplicity. For convenience, we let $\{a\}_k$ be the k repetitions of a such that

$$\zeta(5, 3, \{1\}_2) = \zeta(5, 3, 1, 1), \ \zeta^\star(4, 2, \{1\}_3) = \zeta^\star(4, 2, 1, 1, 1).$$

Many papers use the opposite convention, with the n_i's ordered by $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_k$ or $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \cdots \leq n_k$, see [10,11,13,14,19]. Multiple zeta values and multiple zeta star values were introduced and studied by Euler [16] in the old days. The multiple zeta values have attracted considerable interest in recent years. In the past two decades, many authors have studied multiple zeta values and multiple zeta star values, and a number of relations among them have been found [5,7,9-11,13-15,19,20,22-24,27-28,37-39]. There are important properties

*Corresponding author. Email: xuce1242063253@163.com (C. XU)

for multiple zeta values, so called sum, cyclic sum, and duality formulas. For example, one of the well known Q-linear relations among multiple zeta values is the sum formula (see [5, 20]), which states that

$$\sum_{\substack{s_1+\cdots s_k=n \\ Each\ s_j\geq 1,\ s_1>1}} \zeta(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_k) = \zeta(n). \tag{1.3}$$

In [20], M. Igarashi proved a generalization of the sum formula (see Proposition 3 in the reference [20]). From [5,10,11,13-15], we know that multiple zeta values can be represented by iterated integrals (or Drinfeld integrals) over a simplex of weight dimension. Thus, we have the alternative $(s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_k)$-dimensional iterated-integral representation

$$\zeta(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_k) = \int_0^1 \Omega^{s_1-1} w_1 \Omega^{s_2-1} w_2 \cdots \Omega^{s_k-1} w_k,\ s_1 > 1, \tag{1.4}$$

in which the integrand denotes a string of distinct differential 1-forms of type $\Omega := dx/x$, and w_j is given by

$$w_j := \frac{dx_j}{1-x_j}. \tag{1.5}$$

By using (1.4), Jonathan M. Borwein, David M. Bradley and David J. Broadhurst [5] proved the following duality relation

$$\zeta\left(m_1+2, \{1\}_{n_1}, \ldots, m_p+2, \{1\}_{n_p}\right) = \zeta\left(n_p+2, \{1\}_{m_p}, \ldots, n_1+2, \{1\}_{m_1}\right). \tag{1.6}$$

A generalization of this duality formula can be found in [10, 11, 14, 15]. On the other hand, the corresponding property of the duality formula for multiple zeta-star values was not known until recently. The best result to date are due to Masanobu Kaneko, Yasuo Ohno ([23]) and Chika Yamazaki ([36]). Kaneko and Ohno proved the following property

$$(-1)^k \zeta^\star(k+1, \{1\}_n) - (-1)^n \zeta^\star(n+1, \{1\}_k) \in \mathbf{Q}[\zeta(2), \zeta(3), \ldots] \tag{1.7}$$

the right-hand side being the algebra over $\mathbf{Q}$ generated by the values of the Riemann zeta function at positive integer arguments ($s > 1$). C. Yamazaki ([36]) gave another proof of (1.7).

The subjects of this paper are Multiple zeta values and Euler sums. Next, we give an introduction to the linear and nonlinear Euler sums. When $k = 2$ in (1.2), then $\zeta^\star(s_1, s_2)$ also called the classical linear Euler sums, which is defined by

$$S_{p,q} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta_n(p)}{n^q} = \zeta^\star(q, p),\ p \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}, \tag{1.8}$$

where $\zeta_n(p)$ stands for the generalized harmonic number defined by

$$\zeta_n(p) := \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j^p}, p, n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.9}$$

when $p = 1$, $H_n := \zeta_n(1)$ is classical harmonic number, the empty sum $\zeta_0(p)$ is conventionally understood to be zero. The generalized harmonic number converges to the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \zeta_n(p) = \zeta(p), \Re(p) > 1,$$

where the Riemann zeta function is defined respectively by

$$\zeta(p) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}, \Re(p) > 1. \tag{1.10}$$

In general, the multiple harmonic number (also called partial sums of multiple zeta values) and multiple star harmonic number (also called partial sums of multiple zeta star values) are defined by

$$\zeta_n(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_k) := \sum_{n \geq n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} n_2^{s_2} \cdots n_k^{s_k}}, \tag{1.11}$$

$$\zeta_n^{\star}(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_k) := \sum_{n \geq n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} n_2^{s_2} \cdots n_k^{s_k}}, \tag{1.12}$$

when $n < k$, then $\zeta_n(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_k) = 0$, and $\zeta_n(\emptyset) = \zeta_n^{\star}(\emptyset) = 1$. The generalized (nonlinear) Euler sums are the infinite sums whose general term is a product of harmonic numbers of index n and a power of n^{-1}. Namely, for a multi-index $\mathbf{S} = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k)$ $(k, s_i \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, k)$ with $s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \ldots \leq s_k$ and $q \geq 2$, the nonlinear Euler sums of index $\mathbf{S}, q$ is defined by ([17])

$$S_{\mathbf{S},q} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta_n(s_1)\zeta_n(s_2)\cdots\zeta_n(s_k)}{n^q}, \tag{1.13}$$

where the quantity $s_1 + \cdots + s_k + q$ is called the weight, the quantity k is called the degree. As usual, repeated summands in partitions are indicated by powers, so that for instance

$$S_{1^2 2^3 4,q} = S_{112224,q} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_n^2 \zeta_n^3(2)\, \zeta_n(4)}{n^q}.$$

It has been discovered in the course of the years that many Euler sums admit expressions involving finitely the "zeta values", that is to say values of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ with the positive integer arguments. Euler started this line of investigation in the course of a correspondence with Goldbach beginning and he was the first to consider the linear sums $S_{p,q}$. Euler showed this problem in the case $p = 1$ and gave a general formula for odd weight $p + q$ in 1775. Moreover, he conjectured that the double linear sums would be reducible to zeta values when $p+q$ is odd, and even gave what he hoped to obtain the general formula. In [3], D. Borwein, J.M. Borwein and R. Girgensohn proved conjecture and formula, and in [1], D.H. Bailey, J.M. Borwein and R. Girgensohn conjectured that the double linear sums when $p+q > 7, p+q$ is even, are not reducible. Hence, the evaluation of $S_{p,q}$ in terms of values of Riemann zeta function at positive integers is known when $p = 1,\ p = q,\ (p, q) = (2, 4), (4, 2)$ or $p + q$ is odd ([1,3,17]). The relationship between the values of the zeta values and Euler sums has been studied by many authors, see [1,3,4,8,17,18,26,35] and references therein. For example, in [18], Philippe Flajolet and Bruno Salvy proved that all Euler sums of the form $S_{1^p,q}$ for weights $p + q \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9\}$ are expressible polynomially in terms of zeta values and gave explicit formula. For weight 8, all such sums are the sum of a polynomial in zeta values and a rational multiple of $S_{2,6}$, but not the formula. In [35], we showed that all quadratic Euler sums $S_{1p,q}$ can be evaluated in terms of zeta values and linear sums whenever $p + q \leq 8,\ p \in \mathbb{N},\ q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and gave explicit formula.

The main purpose of this paper is to establish some relationships between nonlinear Euler sums and multiple zeta values by using the method of iterated integral representations of series. We then use these relations to evaluate several series with harmonic numbers. Furthermore, we can obtain some explicit formulas between multiple zeta star values and multiple zeta values.

2 Main results and proofs

The following lemma will be useful in the development of the main theorems.

Lemma 2.1 *(see [35]) Let m,k be integers with $m \geq 2, k \geq 2$. we have the recurrence relation*

$$W(m,k) = -\psi^{(m+k)}(1)/(k+1) - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{m-1}{i}\binom{k}{j} W(i,j)\,\psi^{(m+k-i-j-1)}(1), \quad (2.1)$$

where the integral $W(m,k)$ is defined by

$$W(m,k) := \int_0^1 \frac{\ln^k(1-x)(\ln x)^m}{1-x}dx,$$

and $\psi(z)$ stands for digamma function (or called Psi function) defined by

$$\psi(z) := \frac{d}{dz}(\ln\Gamma(z)) = \frac{\Gamma'(z)}{\Gamma(z)},$$

when $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\psi^{(n)}(z) = (-1)^{n+1}n!\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 1/(z+k)^{n+1}$, $\Gamma(z) := \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t}t^{z-1}dt$, $\Re(z) > 0$ is gamma function. By a direct calculation, we can deduce that

$$W(m,0) = (-1)^m m!\zeta(m+1), W(1,k) = (-1)^{k+1}k!\zeta(k+2),$$

From the recurrence relation (3.1), we know that the values of integrals $W(m,k)$ can be expressed as a rational linear combination of products of zeta values. We now give some values of the integrals $W(m,k)$ for $3 \leq m+k \leq 9$

$$W(2,1) = -\frac{1}{2}\zeta(4),$$
$$W(3,1) = 12\zeta(5) - 6\zeta(2)\zeta(3),$$
$$W(4,1) = 12\zeta^2(3) - 18\zeta(6),$$
$$W(5,1) = 360\zeta(7) - 120\zeta(3)\zeta(4) - 120\zeta(2)\zeta(5),$$
$$W(4,2) = 240\zeta(7) - 60\zeta(3)\zeta(4) - 96\zeta(2)\zeta(5),$$
$$W(3,3) = 180\zeta(7) - 45\zeta(3)\zeta(4) - 72\zeta(2)\zeta(5),$$
$$W(4,3) = -\frac{1497}{4}\zeta(8) + 576\zeta(3)\zeta(5) - 144\zeta(2)\zeta^2(3),$$
$$W(3,4) = -366\zeta(8) + 432\zeta(3)\zeta(5) - 72\zeta(2)\zeta^2(3),$$
$$W(5,2) = -610\zeta(8) + 720\zeta(3)\zeta(5) - 120\zeta(2)\zeta^2(3),$$
$$W(4,4) = 8064\zeta(9) + 288\zeta^3(3) - 288\zeta(2)\zeta(7) - 1260\zeta(3)\zeta(6) - 2016\zeta(4)\zeta(5),$$
$$W(3,5) = 6720\zeta(9) + 120\zeta^3(3) - 2160\zeta(2)\zeta(7) - 1260\zeta(3)\zeta(6) - 1620\zeta(4)\zeta(5),$$
$$W(5,4) = -\frac{84483}{4}\zeta(10) - 11520\zeta(2)\zeta(3)\zeta(5) + 28800\zeta(3)\zeta(7) - 3600\zeta(4)\zeta^2(3) + 14400\zeta^2(5),$$
$$W(4,5) = -17514\zeta(10) - 8640\zeta(2)\zeta(3)\zeta(5) + 23040\zeta(3)\zeta(7) - 3240\zeta(4)\zeta^2(3) + 11520\zeta^2(5).$$

Theorem 2.2 *For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in [-1, 1)$, the following relation holds:*

$$\int_0^x t^{n-1}\ln^m(1-t)dt = \frac{1}{n}\ln^m(1-x)\left(x^n-1\right) + m!\frac{(-1)^m}{n}\sum_{1\le k_m\le\cdots\le k_1\le n}\frac{x^{k_m}}{k_1\cdots k_m}$$
$$-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}(-1)^{i-1}i!\binom{m}{i}\ln^{m-i}(1-x)\sum_{1\le k_i\le\cdots\le k_1\le n}\frac{x^{k_i}-1}{k_1\cdots k_i}. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on m. Define $J(n,m;x) := \int_0^x t^{n-1}\ln^m(1-t)dt$, for $m = 1$, by simple calculation, we can arrive at the conclusion that

$$J(n,1;x) = \int_0^x t^{n-1}\ln(1-t)dt = \frac{1}{n}\left\{x^n\ln(1-x) - \sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{x^j}{j} - \ln(1-x)\right\},$$

and the formula is true. For $m > 1$ we proceed as follows. By using integration by parts we have the following recurrence relation

$$J(n,m;x) = \frac{1}{n}\ln^m(1-x)\left(x^n-1\right) - \frac{m}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}J(k,m-1;x). \tag{2.3}$$

Then by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$J(n,m;x) = \frac{1}{n}\ln^m(1-x)\left(x^n-1\right) + m!\frac{(-1)^m}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{1\le k_{m-1}\le\cdots\le k_1\le j}\frac{x^{k_{m-1}}}{k_1\cdots k_{m-1}}$$
$$+\frac{m}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}(-1)^{i-1}i!\binom{m-1}{i}\ln^{m-1-i}(1-x)\sum_{1\le k_i\le\cdots\le k_1\le j}\frac{x^{k_i}-1}{k_1\cdots k_i}$$
$$-\frac{m}{n}\ln^{m-1}(1-x)\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{x^j-1}{j}. \tag{2.4}$$

By a direct calculation, we can deduce the desired result. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

Letting x approach 1 in (2.2) and using the definition of multiple star harmonic number, we obtain

$$\int_0^1 t^{n-1}\ln^m(1-t)dt = m!\frac{(-1)^m}{n}\zeta_n^\star\left(\{1\}_m\right), \tag{2.5}$$

Dividing (2.2) by x and integrating over the interval (0,1), the result is

$$\int_0^1 x^{n-1}\ln x\ln^m(1-x)dx = m!\frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{n}\left\{\frac{\zeta_n^\star\left(\{1\}_m\right)}{n} - \zeta(m+1)\right\} + m!\frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{n}\zeta_n^\star\left(\{1\}_{m-1},2\right)$$
$$+ m!\frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\left\{\zeta_n^\star\left(\{1\}_{i-1},2,\{1\}_{m-i}\right) - \zeta(m-i+1)\,\zeta_n^\star\left(\{1\}_i\right)\right\}. \tag{2.6}$$

On the other hand, in [35], we proved the identity

$$\int_0^1 t^{n-1}\ln^k(1-t)dt=(-1)^k\frac{Y_k(n)}{n},\ Y_0(n)=1, \tag{2.7}$$

where $Y_k(n):=Y_k\left(\zeta_n(1),1!\zeta_n(2),2!\zeta_n(3),\cdots,(r-1)!\zeta_n(r),\cdots\right)$ and $Y_k(x_1,x_2,\cdots)$ stands for the complete exponential Bell polynomial defined by (see [21])

$$\exp\left(\sum_{m\geq 1}x_m\frac{t^m}{m!}\right)=1+\sum_{k\geq 1}Y_k(x_1,x_2,\cdots)\frac{t^k}{k!}. \tag{2.8}$$

Comparing (2.5) with (2.7), we have the following relation

$$\zeta_n^{\star}(\{1\}_m)=\frac{1}{m!}Y_m(n),n,m\in\mathbb{N}_0. \tag{2.9}$$

By using the definition of the complete exponential Bell polynomial, we can deduce that

$$Y_1(n)=H_n,Y_2(n)=H_n^2+\zeta_n(2),Y_3(n)=H_n^3+3H_n\zeta_n(2)+2\zeta_n(3),$$

$$Y_4(n)=H_n^4+8H_n\zeta_n(3)+6H_n^2\zeta_n(2)+3\zeta_n^2(2)+6\zeta_n(4).$$

$$Y_5(n)=H_n^5+10H_n^3\zeta_n(2)+20H_n^2\zeta_n(3)+15H_n\zeta_n^2(2)+30H_n\zeta_n(4)+20\zeta_n(2)\zeta_n(3)+24\zeta_n(5).$$

Moreover, $Y_k(n)$ is a rational linear combination of products of harmonic numbers. Further, we know that the multiple star harmonic number $\zeta_n^{\star}(\{1\}_m)$ can be expressed as a rational linear combination of harmonic numbers. In fact, using Eulerian beta integral, we can obtain the more general recurrence relation:

Theorem 2.3 *For integers $m,k,n\in\mathbb{N}$, then we have the following recurrence relation*

$$\begin{aligned}
I(n,m,k)=&\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\binom{m-1}{i}(m-i-1)!\frac{(-1)^{m-i}}{n^{m-i}}I(n,i,k)\\
&+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{k}{j}(-1)^{m+k-j}(m+k-j-1)!\zeta_n(m+k-j)I(n,0,j)\\
&-\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{k}{j}(-1)^{m+k-j}(m+k-j-1)!\zeta(m+k-j)I(n,0,j)\\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{m-1}{i}\binom{k}{j}(-1)^{m+k-i-j}(m+k-i-j-1)!\zeta_n(m+k-i-j)I(n,i,j)\\
&-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{m-1}{i}\binom{k}{j}(-1)^{m+k-i-j}(m+k-i-j-1)!\zeta(m+k-i-j)I(n,i,j).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where $I(n,m,k)$ is defined by the integral

$$I(n,m,k) := \int_0^1 x^{n-1}\ln^m x\ln^k(1-x)dx. \tag{2.11}$$

$$I(n,0,0) = \frac{1}{n}, I(n,i,0) = (-1)^i i!\frac{1}{n^{i+1}}.$$

When $m=0$, then $I(n,0,k) = J(n,k,1)$.

Proof. Applying the definition of Beta function $B(\alpha,\beta)$, we can find that

$$I(n,m,k) := \int_0^1 x^{n-1}\ln^m x\ln^k(1-x)dx = \left.\frac{\partial^{m+k}B(\alpha,\beta)}{\partial\alpha^m\partial\beta^k}\right|_{\alpha=n,\beta=1}, \tag{2.12}$$

where the Eulerian Beta function is defined by

$$B(\alpha,\beta) := \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}dx = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)},\ \Re(\alpha)>0, \Re(\beta)>0. \tag{2.13}$$

By using (2.13) and the definition of $\psi(x)$, it is obvious that

$$\frac{\partial B(\alpha,\beta)}{\partial\alpha} = B(\alpha,\beta)\left[\psi(\alpha)-\psi(\alpha+\beta)\right].$$

Therefore, differentiating $m-1$ times this equality, we can deduce that

$$\frac{\partial^m B(\alpha,\beta)}{\partial\alpha^m} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\binom{m-1}{i}\frac{\partial^i B(\alpha,\beta)}{\partial\alpha^i}\cdot\left[\psi^{(m-i-1)}(\alpha)-\psi^{(m-i-1)}(\alpha+\beta)\right]. \tag{2.14}$$

Since $B(\alpha,\beta)=B(\beta,\alpha)$, the change of variable $\alpha\mapsto\beta,\ \beta\mapsto\alpha$, then we also have

$$\frac{\partial^m B(\alpha,\beta)}{\partial\beta^m} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\binom{m-1}{i}\frac{\partial^i B(\alpha,\beta)}{\partial\beta^i}\cdot\left[\psi^{(m-i-1)}(\beta)-\psi^{(m-i-1)}(\beta+\alpha)\right]. \tag{2.15}$$

Putting $\alpha=n,\beta=1$ in (2.15), we arrive at the conclusion that

$$I(n,0,m) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}(-1)^{m-i}(m-i-1)!\binom{m-1}{i}I(n,0,i)\zeta_n(m-i). \tag{2.16}$$

Furthermore, by using (2.14), the following identity is easily derived

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^{m+k}B(\alpha,\beta)}{\partial\alpha^m\partial\beta^k} &= \frac{\partial^k}{\partial\beta^k}\left(\frac{\partial^m B(\alpha,\beta)}{\partial\alpha^m}\right)\\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\binom{m-1}{i}\frac{\partial^{i+k}B(\alpha,\beta)}{\partial\alpha^i\partial\beta^k}\cdot\left[\psi^{(m-i-1)}(\alpha)-\psi^{(m-i-1)}(\alpha+\beta)\right]\end{aligned}$$

$$-\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{k}{j}\frac{\partial^j B(\alpha,\beta)}{\partial\beta^j}\psi^{(m+k-j-1)}(\alpha+\beta)$$
$$-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{m-1}{i}\binom{k}{j}\frac{\partial^{i+j}B(\alpha,\beta)}{\partial\alpha^i\partial\beta^j}\psi^{(m+k-i-j-1)}(\alpha+\beta). \tag{2.17}$$

From the definition of digamma function, we know that if $\alpha = n, \beta = 1$, then we have

$$\psi^{(m-i-1)}(n) - \psi^{(m-i-1)}(n+1) = (-1)^{m-i}(m-i-1)!\frac{1}{n^{m-i}}, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\psi^{(m+k-j-1)}(n+1) = (-1)^{m+k-j}(m+k-j-1)!\left(\zeta(m+k-j) - \zeta_n(m+k-j)\right). \tag{2.19}$$

Hence, taking $\alpha = n, \beta = 1$ in (2.17), then substituting (2.18) (2.19) into (2.17) respectively, we can obtain (2.1). The proof of Theorem 2.3 is finished. □

From (2.10) and (2.16), we can gives the following identities: for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$I(n,0,1) = \int_0^1 x^{n-1}\ln(1-x)\,dx = -\frac{H_n}{n},$$

$$I(n,0,2) = \int_0^1 x^{n-1}\ln^2(1-x)\,dx = \frac{H_n^2 + \zeta_n(2)}{n},$$

$$I(n,1,1) = \int_0^1 x^{n-1}\ln x\ln(1-x)\,dx = \frac{H_n}{n^2} - \frac{\zeta(2) - \zeta_n(2)}{n},$$

$$I(n,0,3) = \int_0^1 x^{n-1}\ln^3(1-x)\,dx = -\frac{H_n^3 + 3H_n\zeta_n(2) + 2\zeta_n(3)}{n},$$

$$I(n,1,2) = \int_0^1 x^{n-1}\ln x\ln^2(1-x)\,dx = -\frac{H_n^2 + \zeta_n(2)}{n^2} + 2\frac{\zeta(3) - \zeta_n(3)}{n} + 2\frac{\zeta(2) - \zeta_n(2)}{n}H_n.$$

By using integration by parts, we can find the relation

$$I(n,m,1) = -\frac{m}{n}I(n,m-1,1) + (-1)^m m!\frac{\zeta(m+1) - \zeta_n(m+1)}{n}. \tag{2.20}$$

Furthermore, by simple calculation, we obtain the result

$$I(n,m,1) = \int_0^1 x^{n-1}\ln^m x\ln(1-x)\,dx = (-1)^{m+1}m!\frac{H_n}{n^{m+1}} + (-1)^m m!\sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{\zeta(j+1) - \zeta_n(j+1)}{n^{m-j+1}}. \tag{2.21}$$

Therefore, from Theorem 2.3, we know that the integral $I(n,m,k)$ is a rational linear combination of products of harmonic numbers and zeta values. Taking $m = 1$ in (2.10), we can give the the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4 *For integer $n,k\in\mathbb{N}$, then we have*

$$I\left(n,1,k\right)=-\frac{1}{n}I\left(n,0,k\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{k}{j}\left(-1\right)^{k+1-j}\left(k-j\right)!\left(\zeta\left(k+1-j\right)-\zeta_{n}\left(k+1-j\right)\right)I\left(n,0,j\right). \tag{2.22}$$

Comparing (2.6) with (2.22), we can obtain the conclusion: For integers $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$, then the sums of multiple star harmonic number $\sum_{i=1}^{m}\zeta^{\star}\left(\{1\}_{i-1},2,\{1\}_{m-i}\right)$ can be expressed by the harmonic numbers, and we have the formula

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}\zeta_{n}^{\star}\left(\{1\}_{i-1},2,\{1\}_{m-i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\zeta_{n}\left(m+2-i\right)\zeta_{n}^{\star}\left(\{1\}_{i-1}\right). \tag{2.23}$$

Theorem 2.5 *For integer $k>0$ and $x\in[-1,1)$, then have*

$$\ln^{k}\left(1-x\right)=\left(-1\right)^{k}k!\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{x^{n}}{n}\zeta_{n-1}\left(\{1\}_{k-1}\right), \tag{2.24}$$

$$s\left(n,k\right)=\left(n-1\right)!\zeta_{n-1}\left(\{1\}_{k-1}\right). \tag{2.25}$$

where $s\left(n,k\right)$ is called (unsigned) Stirling number of the first kind (see [21]).

$$\begin{aligned}
&s\left(n,1\right)=\left(n-1\right)!,s\left(n,2\right)=\left(n-1\right)!H_{n-1},s\left(n,3\right)=\frac{\left(n-1\right)!}{2}\left[H_{n-1}^{2}-\zeta_{n-1}\left(2\right)\right],\\
&s\left(n,4\right)=\frac{\left(n-1\right)!}{6}\left[H_{n-1}^{3}-3H_{n-1}\zeta_{n-1}\left(2\right)+2\zeta_{n-1}\left(3\right)\right],\\
&s\left(n,5\right)=\frac{\left(n-1\right)!}{24}\left[H_{n-1}^{4}-6\zeta_{n-1}\left(4\right)-6H_{n-1}^{2}\zeta_{n-1}\left(2\right)+3\zeta_{n-1}^{2}\left(2\right)+8H_{n-1}\zeta_{n-1}\left(3\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

The Stirling numbers $s\left(n,k\right)$ of the first kind satisfy a recurrence relation in the form

$$s\left(n,k\right)=s\left(n-1,k-1\right)+\left(n-1\right)s\left(n-1,k\right),\ \ n,k\in\mathbb{N},$$

with $s\left(n,k\right)=0,n<k,s\left(n,0\right)=s\left(0,k\right)=0,s\left(0,0\right)=1$.

Proof. To prove the first identity we proceed by induction on k. Obviously, it is valid for $k=1$. For $k>1$ we use the equality

$$\ln^{k+1}\left(1-x\right)=-\left(k+1\right)\int_{0}^{x}\frac{\ln^{k}\left(1-t\right)}{1-t}dt$$

and apply the induction hypothesis, by using Cauchy product formula, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln^{k+1}\left(1-x\right)&=-\left(k+1\right)\int_{0}^{x}\frac{\ln^{k}\left(1-t\right)}{1-t}dt\\
&=\left(-1\right)^{k+1}\left(k+1\right)!\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\zeta_{i-1}\left(\{1\}_{k-1}\right)}{i}x^{n+1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= (-1)^{k+1}(k+1)!\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\zeta_n(\{1\}_k)}{n+1}x^{n+1}.$$

Nothing that $\zeta_n(\{1\}_k)=0$ when $n<k$. We can deduce (2.24). To prove the second identity of our theorem, we use the following equation ([21])

$$\ln^k(1-x) = (-1)^k k!\sum_{n=k}^{\infty}\frac{s(n,k)}{n!}x^n,\ -1\le x<1. \tag{2.26}$$

Comparing (2.24) and (2.26), we can derive (2.25). The proof of Theorem 2.5 is thus completed. □

From (2.1) and (2.26), we have the result

$$\begin{aligned} W(k,m) &= \int_0^1 \frac{\ln^k(1-x)\ln^m x}{x}dx \\ &= (-1)^k k!\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\zeta_{n-1}\left(\{1\}_{k-1}\right)}{n}\int_0^1 x^n\ln^m x dx \\ &= (-1)^{m+k}m!k!\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\zeta_{n-1}\left(\{1\}_{k-1}\right)}{n^{m+2}} \\ &= (-1)^{m+k}m!k!\zeta\left(m+2,\{1\}_{k-1}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

which implies that for any $m,k\in\mathbb{N}$, the multiple zeta value $\zeta(m+1,\{1\}_{k-1})$ can be represented as a polynomial of zeta values with rational coefficients. For example:

$$\begin{aligned} &\zeta(2,\{1\}_m) = \zeta(m+2), \\ &\zeta(3,\{1\}_m) = \frac{m+2}{2}\zeta(m+3) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\zeta(k+1)\zeta(m+2-k). \end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts, we can deduce that

$$W(m,k-1) = \frac{m}{k}W(k,m-1). \tag{2.28}$$

Applying (2.27) into (2.28), we can obtain the well known duality formula

$$\zeta\left(k+1,\{1\}_{m-1}\right) = \zeta\left(m+1,\{1\}_{k-1}\right).$$

Obviously, the equation above is special cases of equation (1.6). From (2.25)-(2.28), we get the result

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty}\frac{s(n,k)}{n!n^m} = \zeta\left(k+1,\{1\}_{m-1}\right) = \zeta\left(m+1,\{1\}_{k-1}\right). \tag{2.29}$$

Now we state our main results. The main results of this paper are the following theorems.

Theorem 2.6 *For integers $p\in\mathbb{N}$ and $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$. Then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n s(n,p)}{n!n^{m+1}} = (p+1)\zeta(p+2,\{1\}_m) + \sum_{i=1}^{m}\zeta\left(p+1,\{1\}_{i-1},2,\{1\}_{m-i}\right). \tag{2.30}$$

Proof. Applying (2.26), we can show that

$$(-1)^{p+1}p!\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n s\left(n,p\right)}{n!n^{m+1}}=\int_0^1\frac{\ln\left(1-t_1\right)}{t_1}dt_1\int_0^{t_1}\frac{1}{t_2}dt_2\cdots\int_0^{t_{m-1}}\frac{1}{t_m}dt_m\int_0^{t_m}\frac{\ln^p\left(1-t_{m+1}\right)}{t_{m+1}}dt_{m+1}$$
$$=\int\limits_{0<t_{m+1}<t_m<\cdots<t_1<1}\frac{\ln\left(1-t_1\right)\ln^p\left(1-t_{m+1}\right)}{t_1t_2\cdots t_{m+1}}dt_1dt_2\cdots dt_{m+1}. \quad (2.31)$$

Applying the change of variables

$$t_i\mapsto 1-t_{m+2-i},\ i=1,2,\cdots,m+1.$$

to the above multiple integral, we get the identity

$$\int\limits_{0<t_{m+1}<t_m<\cdots<t_1<1}\frac{\ln\left(1-t_1\right)\ln^p\left(1-t_{m+1}\right)}{t_1t_2\cdots t_{m+1}}dt_1dt_2\cdots dt_{m+1}$$
$$=\int\limits_{0<t_{m+1}<t_m<\cdots<t_1<1}\frac{\ln\left(t_{m+1}\right)\ln^p\left(t_1\right)}{\left(1-t_{m+1}\right)\left(1-t_m\right)\cdots\left(1-t_1\right)}dt_1dt_2\cdots dt_{m+1}$$
$$=\int_0^1\frac{\ln^p\left(t_1\right)}{1-t_1}dt_1\int_0^{t_1}\frac{1}{1-t_2}dt_2\cdots\int_0^{t_{m-1}}\frac{1}{1-t_m}dt_m\int_0^{t_m}\frac{\ln\left(t_{m+1}\right)}{1-t_{m+1}}dt_{m+1},$$
$$=\sum_{n_{m+1}=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n_m=1}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty}\int_0^1 t_1^{n_{m+1}-1}\ln^p\left(t_1\right)dt_1\int_0^{t_1}t_2^{n_m-1}dt_2\cdots\int_0^{t_{m-1}}t_m^{n_2-1}dt_m\int_0^{t_m}t_{m+1}^{n_1-1}\ln\left(t_{m+1}\right)dt_{m+1}. \quad (2.32)$$

By using integration by parts, we can deduce that, for $n,m\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_0^x t^{n-1}(\ln t)^m dt=\sum_{l=0}^{m}l!\binom{m}{l}\frac{(-1)^l}{n^{l+1}}(\ln x)^{m-l}x^n, x\in(0,1), \quad (2.33)$$

Taking $m=2$ in (2.33), we get

$$\int_0^x t^{n-1}\ln t dt=\frac{1}{n}x^n\ln x-\frac{x^n}{n^2}. \quad (2.34)$$

Substituting (2.34) into (2.32) and combining (2.31), we arrive at the conclusion that

$$(-1)^{p+1}p!\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n s\left(n,p\right)}{n!n^{m+1}}$$
$$=\sum_{n_1,n_2,\cdots n_{m+1}=1}^{\infty}\frac{(p+1)!(-1)^{p+1}}{n_1\left(n_1+n_2\right)\cdots\left(n_1+\cdots+n_m\right)\left(n_1+\cdots+n_m+n_{m+1}\right)^{p+2}}$$
$$-\sum_{n_1,n_2,\cdots n_{m+1}=1}^{\infty}\frac{p!(-1)^p}{n_1\left(n_1+n_2\right)\cdots\left(n_1+\cdots+n_m\right)^2\left(n_1+\cdots+n_m+n_{m+1}\right)^{p+1}}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\cdots\\
&-\sum_{n_1,n_2,\cdots n_{m+1}=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^p p!}{n_1^2\left(n_1+n_2\right)\cdots\left(n_1+\cdots+n_m\right)\left(n_1+\cdots+n_m+n_{m+1}\right)^{p+1}}\\
=&(-1)^{p+1}\left(p+1\right)!\zeta\left(p+2,\{1\}_m\right)+(-1)^{p+1}p!\sum_{i=1}^{m}\zeta\left(p+1,\{1\}_{i-1},2,\{1\}_{m-i}\right). \qquad (2.35)
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.6. □

Theorem 2.7 *For integers $m,p\in\mathbb{N}$ and $r\in\mathbb{N}\setminus\{1\}$. Then*

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{s\left(n,m\right)\zeta_n\left(r\right)}{n!n^p}=\zeta\left(r\right)\zeta\left(m+1,\{1\}_{p-1}\right)-\zeta\left(m+1,\{1\}_{p-1},2,\{1\}_{r-2}\right). \qquad (2.36)
$$

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.6, we consider the multiple integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1\frac{1}{t_1}dt_1\cdots\int_0^{t_{r-1}}\frac{1}{t_r}dt_r\int_0^{t_r}\frac{\ln\left(1-t_{r+1}\right)}{t_{r+1}}dt_{r+1}\int_0^{t_{r+1}}\frac{1}{t_{r+2}}dt_{r+2}\cdots\int_0^{t_{p-1}}\frac{1}{t_p}dt_p\int_0^{t_p}\frac{\ln^m\left(1-t_{p+1}\right)}{t_{p+1}}dt_{p+1}\\
=&\int_{0<t_{p+1}<\cdots<t_1<1}\frac{\ln\left(1-t_{r+1}\right)\ln^m\left(1-t_{p+1}\right)}{t_1t_2\cdots t_{p+1}}dt_1dt_2\cdots dt_{p+1}. \qquad (2.37)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the change of variables

$$
t_i\mapsto 1-t_{p+2-i},\ i=1,2,\cdots,p+1.
$$

to the above multiple integral, we deduce the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{0<t_{p+1}<\cdots<t_1<1}\frac{\ln\left(1-t_{r+1}\right)\ln^m\left(1-t_{p+1}\right)}{t_1t_2\cdots t_{p+1}}dt_1dt_2\cdots dt_{p+1}\\
=&\int_{0<t_{p+1}<\cdots<t_1<1}\frac{\ln\left(t_{p-r+1}\right)\ln^m\left(t_1\right)}{\left(1-t_{p+1}\right)\left(1-t_p\right)\cdots\left(1-t_1\right)}dt_1dt_2\cdots dt_{p+1}\\
=&\int_0^1\frac{\ln^m\left(t_1\right)}{1-t_1}dt_1\int_0^{t_1}\frac{1}{1-t_2}dt_2\cdots\int_0^{t_{p-r-1}}\frac{1}{1-t_{p-r}}dt_{p-r}\int_0^{t_{p-r}}\frac{\ln\left(t_{p-r+1}\right)}{1-t_{p-r+1}}dt_{p-r+1}\\
&\times\int_0^{t_{p-r+1}}\frac{1}{1-t_{p-r+2}}dt_{p-r+2}\cdots\int_0^{t_p}\frac{1}{1-t_{p+1}}dt_{p+1},\\
=&\sum_{n_1,n_2,\cdots n_{p+1}=1}^{\infty}\frac{(m+1)!(-1)^{m+1}}{n_1\cdots\left(n_1+\cdots+n_p\right)\left(n_1+\cdots+n_p+n_{p+1}\right)^{m+2}}\\
&-\sum_{n_1,n_2,\cdots n_{p+1}=1}^{\infty}\frac{m!(-1)^m}{n_1\cdots\left(n_1+\cdots+n_{p-1}\right)\left(n_1+\cdots+n_p\right)^2\left(n_1+\cdots+n_p+n_{p+1}\right)^{m+1}}\\
&-\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

$$-\sum_{n_1,n_2,\cdots n_{p+1}=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^m m!}{n_1\cdots(n_1+\cdots+n_r)\,(n_1+\cdots+n_{r+1})^2\cdots(n_1+\cdots+n_p+n_{p+1})^{m+1}}$$

$$=(-1)^{m+1}(m+1)!\zeta\left(m+2,\{1\}_p\right)+(-1)^{m+1}m!\sum_{i=0}^{p-r-1}\zeta\left(m+1,\{1\}_i,2,\{1\}_{p-1-i}\right). \quad (2.38)$$

On the other hand, by using (2.21), we have

$$\int_0^1\frac{1}{t_1}dt_1\cdots\int_0^{t_{r-1}}\frac{1}{t_r}dt_r\int_0^{t_r}\frac{\ln(1-t_{r+1})}{t_{r+1}^{1-n}}dt_{r+1}=\frac{(-1)^r}{r!}\int_0^1 t_1^{n-1}\ln^r(t_1)\ln(1-t_1)\,dt_1$$

$$=-\frac{H_n}{n^{r+1}}-\sum_{j=1}^{r}\frac{\zeta_n(j+1)-\zeta(j+1)}{n^{r-j+1}}. \quad (2.39)$$

Substituting (2.39) into (2.37) and combining (2.26), we conclude that

$$\int_{0<t_{p+1}<\cdots<t_1<1}\frac{\ln(1-t_{r+1})\ln^m(1-t_{p+1})}{t_1t_2\cdots t_{p+1}}dt_1dt_2\cdots dt_{p+1}$$

$$=(-1)^{m+1}m!\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{s(n,m)}{n!n^{p-r}}\left\{\frac{H_n}{n^{r+1}}+\sum_{j=1}^{r}\frac{\zeta_n(j+1)-\zeta(j+1)}{n^{r-j+1}}\right\}. \quad (2.40)$$

Combining (2.38) and (2.40), we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{s(n,m)}{n!n^{p-r}}\left\{\frac{H_n}{n^{r+1}}+\sum_{j=1}^{r}\frac{\zeta_n(j+1)-\zeta(j+1)}{n^{r-j+1}}\right\}$$

$$=(m+1)\zeta\left(m+2,\{1\}_p\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{p-r-1}\zeta\left(m+1,\{1\}_i,2,\{1\}_{p-1-i}\right). \quad (2.41)$$

Applying (2.29) and (2.30) into (2.41) yields

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{s(n,m)\,\zeta_n(j+1)}{n!n^{p-j+1}}=\sum_{j=1}^{r}\left\{\zeta(j+1)\,\zeta\left(m+1,\{1\}_{p-j}\right)-\zeta\left(m+1,\{1\}_{p-j},2,\{1\}_{j-1}\right)\right\}. \quad (2.42)$$

Replacing r by $r-1$ in (2.42), then substituting it into (2.42), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{s(n,m)\,\zeta_n(r+1)}{n!n^{p-r+1}}=\zeta(r+1)\,\zeta\left(m+1,\{1\}_{p-r}\right)-\zeta\left(m+1,\{1\}_{p-r},2,\{1\}_{r-1}\right).$$

The proof of Theorem 2.7 is finished. □

Remark 2.8 *By considering the following multiple integral*

$$\int_0^1\frac{\ln^r(t_1)}{1-t_1}dt_1\int_0^{t_1}\frac{1}{t_2}dt_2\cdots\int_0^{t_{p-1}}\frac{1}{t_p}dt_p\int_0^{t_p}\frac{\ln^m(1-t_{p+1})}{t_{p+1}}dt_{p+1},$$

and using the elementary integral identity

$$\int_0^1 \frac{x^n \ln^m x}{1-x} dx = (-1)^m m! \left(\zeta(m+1) - \zeta_n(m+1)\right), \ m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

then combining (2.25), we also obtain (2.36). When $p = 1$, then the integral above can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 \frac{\ln^m x}{1-x} \int_0^x \frac{\ln^r(1-t)}{t} dt dx \\
&= (-1)^{r+m} r! m! \sum_{n=r}^{\infty} \frac{s(n,r)}{n!n} \left(\zeta(m+1) - \zeta_n(m+1)\right), \\
&= \int_0^1 \frac{\ln^p x}{1-x} \int_0^x \frac{\ln^m(1-t)}{t} dt dx \\
&= (-1)^{m+r} m! r! \sum_{n=r}^{\infty} \frac{s(n,m)}{n!n} \left(\zeta(r+1) - \zeta_n(r+1)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (2.29), we deduce the following duality relation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s(n,m)}{n!n} \zeta_n(r+1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s(n,r)}{n!n} \zeta_n(m+1),$$

with $s(n,k) = 0, n < k, s(n,0) = s(0,k) = 0, s(0,0) = 1$. □

In the same manner, we obtain the more general identity, see the following Theorem 2.8.

Theorem 2.9 *For integers $k, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Y_k(n) s(n,p)}{n! n^{m+1}} = k! \sum_{\substack{0 \le i_1 + \cdots i_m \le k \\ i_j \ge 0, j = 1, 2 \cdots, m}} \binom{p+k-\sum_{j=1}^{m} i_j}{p} \zeta\left(p+k+1-\sum_{j=1}^{m} i_j, i_m+1, \cdots, i_1+1\right), \tag{2.43}$$

where $Y_k(n)$ is complete exponential Bell number defined by (2.8). When $m = 0$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Y_k(n) s(n,p)}{n!n} = k! \binom{p+k}{k} \zeta(p+k+1).$$

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.6 and 2.7, using (2.7) and (2.26), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{p+k} p! \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Y_k(n) s(n,p)}{n! n^{m+1}} &= \int_0^1 \frac{\ln^k(1-t_1)}{t_1} dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} \frac{1}{t_2} dt_2 \cdots \int_0^{t_{m-1}} \frac{1}{t_m} dt_m \int_0^{t_m} \frac{\ln^p(1-t_{m+1})}{t_{m+1}} dt_{m+1} \\
&= \int_{0 < t_{m+1} < t_m < \cdots < t_1 < 1} \frac{\ln^k(1-t_1) \ln^p(1-t_{m+1})}{t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{m+1}} dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_{m+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

Using (2.33), by a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.6 and 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&p!\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{Y_k\left(n\right)s\left(n,p\right)}{n!n^{m+1}}\\
&=\sum_{\substack{0\leq i_1+\cdots i_m\leq k\\ i_j\geq 0,j=1,2\cdots,m}}(k)_{i_1}(k-i_1)_{i_2}\cdots\left(k-\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}i_j\right)_{i_m}\left(p+k-\sum_{j=1}^{m}i_j\right)!\\
&\qquad\times\zeta\left(p+k+1-\sum_{j=1}^{m}i_j,i_m+1,\cdots,i_1+1\right),
\end{aligned}\tag{2.45}$$

where $(m)_l:=m(m-1)\cdots(m-l+1)$. By a direct calculation, we find that

$$(k)_{i_1}(k-i_1)_{i_2}\cdots\left(k-\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}i_j\right)_{i_m}\left(p+k-\sum_{j=1}^{m}i_j\right)!=k!p!\binom{p+k-\sum_{j=1}^{m}i_j}{p}.\tag{2.46}$$

Combining (2.45) and (2.46), we can obtain the formula (2.43). □
Moreover, by simple calculation, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.10 *For integers $k,m\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $p\in\mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&p!\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{Y_k\left(n\right)s\left(n,p\right)}{n!n^{m+1}}+(-1)^{m-1}k!\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{Y_p\left(n\right)s\left(n,k\right)}{n!n^{m+1}}\\
&=p!k!\sum_{i=1}^{m}(-1)^{i-1}\zeta\left(i+1,\{1\}_{k-1}\right)\zeta\left(m+2-i,\{1\}_{p-1}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{2.47}$$

Proof. First, by using multiply integral, we can find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1\frac{1}{t_1}dt_1\cdots\int_0^{t_{m-1}}\frac{1}{t_m}dt_m\int_0^{t_m}\frac{\ln^p\left(1-t_{m+1}\right)}{t_{m+1}}dt_{m+1}&=(-1)^p p!\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{s\left(n,p\right)}{n!n^{m+1}}\\
&=(-1)^p p!\zeta\left(m+2,\{1\}_{p-1}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{2.48}$$

Then applying formula (2.48) to the right hand side of (2.44), we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{p+k}p!\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{Y_k\left(n\right)s\left(n,p\right)}{n!n^{m+1}}\\
=&(-1)^{p+k}p!k!\zeta\left(2,\{1\}_{k-1}\right)\zeta\left(m+1,\{1\}_{p-1}\right)\\
&-\int_0^1\frac{1}{t_1}dt_1\int_0^{t_1}\frac{\ln^k\left(1-t_2\right)}{t_2}dt_2\int_0^{t_1}\frac{1}{t_2}dt_2\cdots\int_0^{t_{m-2}}\frac{1}{t_{m-1}}dt_{m-1}\int_0^{t_{m-1}}\frac{\ln^p\left(1-t_m\right)}{t_m}dt_m\\
=&\cdots\\
=&(-1)^{p+k}p!k!\sum_{i=1}^{m}(-1)^{i-1}\zeta\left(i+1,\{1\}_{k-1}\right)\zeta\left(m+2-i,\{1\}_{p-1}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+(-1)^m\int_0^1\frac{\ln^p(1-t_1)}{t_1}dt_1\int_0^{t_1}\frac{1}{t_2}dt_2\cdots\int_0^{t_{m-1}}\frac{1}{t_m}dt_m\int_0^{t_m}\frac{\ln^k(1-t_{m+1})}{t_{m+1}}dt_{m+1}\\
=&(-1)^{p+k}p!k!\sum_{i=1}^{m}(-1)^{i-1}\zeta\left(i+1,\{1\}_{k-1}\right)\zeta\left(m+2-i,\{1\}_{p-1}\right)\\
&+(-1)^{p+k+m}k!\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{Y_p(n)\,s(n,k)}{n!n^{m+1}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, formula (2.47) holds. □

Setting $m=1$ in the above equation we obtain

$$
p!\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{s(n,p)\,Y_k(n)}{n!n^2}+k!\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{s(n,k)\,Y_p(n)}{n!n^2}=k!p!\zeta(k+1)\,\zeta(p+1). \tag{2.48}
$$

3 Relations between multiple zeta values and multiple zeta star values

In this section, we will establish some explicit relationships between multiple zeta star values and multiple zeta values. Furthermore, we give closed formed for several classes of nonlinear Euler sums in terms of zeta values and linear sums.

Lemma 3.1 *For integers $n,p\in\mathbb{N}$, then*

$$
\int_0^1 x^{n-1}\mathrm{Li}_p(x)dx=\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}\frac{(-1)^{i-1}}{n^i}\zeta(p+1-i)+\frac{(-1)^{p-1}}{n^p}H_n, \tag{3.1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 x^{n-1}\ln x\mathrm{Li}_p(x)dx=&\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}\sum_{j=1}^{p-i}(-1)^{i+j-1}\frac{\zeta(p+2-i-j)}{n^{i+j}}\\
&+(-1)^p p\frac{H_n}{n^{p+1}}+(-1)^p\frac{\zeta_n(2)-\zeta(2)}{n^p},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}
$$

where $\mathrm{Li}_p(x)$ is polylogarithm function defined by

$$
\mathrm{Li}_p(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{x^n}{n^p},\Re(p)>1,\ |x|\le 1,
$$

with $\mathrm{Li}_1(x)=-\ln(1-x),\ x\in[-1,1)$.

Proof. Using integration by parts, we deduce that, for $x\in[-1,1)$,

$$
\int_0^x t^{n-1}\mathrm{Li}_p(t)\,dt=\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(-1)^{i-1}\frac{x^n}{n^i}\mathrm{Li}_{p+1-i}(x)+\frac{(-1)^p}{n^p}\ln(1-x)(x^n-1)-\frac{(-1)^p}{n^p}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{x^k}{k}\right). \tag{3.3}
$$

Letting x approach 1, the result is (3.1). Multiplying (3.3) by $\dfrac{\ln^{m-1}x}{x}$ and integrating over the interval $(0,1)$, we have the following recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 x^{n-1}\ln^m x\mathrm{Li}_p(x)dx =& m\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}\frac{(-1)^i}{n^i}\int_0^1 x^{n-1}\ln^{m-1}x\mathrm{Li}_{p+1-i}(x)dx + m!(-1)^{m+p-1}\frac{\zeta_n(m+1)}{n^p}\\ &+ m!\frac{(-1)^{m+p-1}}{n^p}\left\{\frac{H_n}{n^m}-\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{\zeta(j+1)-\zeta_n(j+1)}{n^{m-j}}-\zeta(m+1)\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Taking $m=1$ in (3.4), we obtain (3.2). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

Theorem 3.2 *For integers $p,m\in\mathbb{N}$, then we have the relation*

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^\star(p+1,\{1\}_m) =& \sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(-1)^{i-1}\zeta(p+1-i)\,\zeta\left(m+1,\{1\}_{i-1}\right)\\ &+(-1)^{p+1}\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}\zeta\left(m+1,\{1\}_{i-1},2,\{1\}_{p-1-i}\right)\\ &+(-1)^{p+1}(m+1)\,\zeta\left(m+2,\{1\}_{p-1}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. First, we consider the integral

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1\frac{\mathrm{Li}_p(x)\ln^m(1-x)}{x}dx &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{1}{n^p}\int_0^1 x^{n-1}\ln^m(1-x)dx\\ &= m!\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{\zeta^\star(\{1\}_m)}{n^{p+1}}\\ &= m!\zeta^\star(p+1,\{1\}_m).\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

On the other hand, by using (3.1), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1\frac{\mathrm{Li}_p(x)\ln^m(1-x)}{x}dx &= (-1)^m m!\sum_{n=m}^\infty\frac{s(n,m)}{n!}\int_0^1 x^{n-1}\mathrm{Li}_p(x)dx\\ &= (-1)^m m!\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(-1)^{i-1}\zeta(p+1-i)\sum_{n=m}^\infty\frac{s(n,m)}{n!n^i}\\ &\quad+(-1)^{m+p-1}m!\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{H_n s(n,m)}{n^p n!}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Combining (3.6) and (3.7) and using (2.29), we arrive at

$$\zeta^\star(p+1,\{1\}_m)=\sum_{i=0}^{p-2}(-1)^i\zeta(p-i)\,\zeta(m+1,\{1\}_i)+(-1)^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{H_n s(n,m)}{n^p n!}. \tag{3.8}$$

The formula (3.8) also immediately follows from (2.47). Substituting (2.30) into (3.8) yields the desired result. □

Theorem 3.3 *For integers $p, m \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have the relation*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta^{\star}\left(p+1, \{1\}_{i-1}, 2, \{1\}_{m-i}\right) + \zeta^{\star}\left(p+2, \{1\}_{m}\right) - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \zeta\left(m-i+1\right) \zeta^{\star}\left(p+1, \{1\}_{i}\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{p-i} (-1)^{i+j} \zeta\left(p+2-i-j\right) \zeta\left(m+1, \{1\}_{i+j-1}\right) + (-1)^{p+1} p\left(m+1\right) \zeta\left(m+2, \{1\}_{p}\right) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{p+1} p \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \zeta\left(m+1, \{1\}_{i}, 2, \{1\}_{p-1-i}\right) - (-1)^{p+1} \zeta\left(m+1, \{1\}_{p-1}, 2\right). \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 3.2, first, by using (2.6), we obtain the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{Li}_{p}\left(x\right) \ln x \ln^{m}\left(1-x\right)}{x} dx &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{p}} \int_{0}^{1} x^{n-1} \ln x \ln^{m}\left(1-x\right) dx \\
&= m!(-1)^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta^{\star}\left(p+1, \{1\}_{i-1}, 2, \{1\}_{m-i}\right) \\
&\quad + m!(-1)^{m-1} \zeta^{\star}\left(p+2, \{1\}_{m}\right) \\
&\quad - m!(-1)^{m-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \zeta\left(m-i+1\right) \zeta^{\star}\left(p+1, \{1\}_{i}\right). \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Then by (2.26) and (3.2), we can deduce the result

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{Li}_{p}\left(x\right) \ln x \ln^{m}\left(1-x\right)}{x} dx \\
&= (-1)^{m} m! \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s\left(n, m\right)}{n!} \int_{0}^{1} x^{n-1} \ln x \mathrm{Li}_{p}\left(x\right) dx \\
&= (-1)^{m} m! \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{p-i} (-1)^{i+j-1} \zeta\left(p+2-i-j\right) \zeta\left(m+1, \{1\}_{i+j-1}\right) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{m+p} p m! \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_{n} s\left(n, m\right)}{n! n^{p+1}} + (-1)^{m+p} m! \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s\left(n, m\right)\left(\zeta_{n}\left(2\right) - \zeta\left(2\right)\right)}{n! n^{p+1}}. \qquad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.30), (2.36), (3.10) and (3.11), we can obtain (3.9). □

Putting $p = 1$ in (3.9), we can give the following Corollary.

Corollary 3.4 *For positive m, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta^{\star}\left(2, \{1\}_{i-1}, 2, \{1\}_{m-i}\right) + \zeta^{\star}\left(3, \{1\}_{m}\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \zeta\left(m-i+1\right) \zeta^{\star}\left(2, \{1\}_{i}\right) + \left(m+1\right) \zeta\left(m+2, 1\right). \qquad (3.12)
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $p=1,2$ in (3.5) we obtain

$$\zeta^{\star}\left(2,\{1\}_{m}\right)=(m+1)\,\zeta\left(m+2\right), \tag{3.13}$$

$$\zeta^{\star}\left(3,\{1\}_{m}\right)=\zeta\left(2\right)\zeta\left(m+1\right)-(m+1)\,\zeta\left(m+2,1\right)-\zeta\left(m+1,2\right). \tag{3.14}$$

At the end of this section we give some closed form for several classes of nonlinear Euler sums. In [9], J.M. Borwein, R. Girgensohn proved that all $\zeta\left(q,p,r\right)$ with $r+p+q$ is even or less than or equal to 10 or $r+p+q=12$ were reducible to zeta values and linear sums. From [9], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta\left(5,1\right)&=\frac{3}{4}\zeta\left(6\right)-\frac{1}{2}\zeta^{2}\left(3\right),\\
\zeta\left(6,1,1\right)&=\frac{61}{24}\zeta\left(8\right)-3\zeta\left(3\right)\zeta\left(5\right)+\frac{1}{2}\zeta\left(2\right)\zeta^{2}\left(3\right),\\
\zeta\left(5,1,1,1\right)&=\frac{499}{192}\zeta\left(8\right)-4\zeta\left(3\right)\zeta\left(5\right)+\zeta\left(2\right)\zeta^{2}\left(3\right),\\
\zeta\left(5,1,2\right)&=-\frac{73}{72}\zeta\left(8\right)+\frac{9}{2}\zeta\left(3\right)\zeta\left(5\right)-\frac{3}{2}\zeta\left(2\right)\zeta^{2}\left(3\right)-S_{2,6},\\
\zeta\left(5,2,1\right)&=-\frac{541}{144}\zeta\left(8\right)+\frac{7}{2}\zeta\left(3\right)\zeta\left(5\right)-\zeta\left(2\right)\zeta^{2}\left(3\right)+\frac{7}{2}S_{2,6}.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting $p=3,m=3$ in (3.5), we can deduce that

$$\zeta^{\star}\left(5,1,1,1\right)=-\frac{385}{192}\zeta\left(8\right)+5\zeta\left(3\right)\zeta\left(5\right)-\zeta\left(2\right)\zeta^{2}\left(3\right)-\frac{3}{4}S_{2,6}.$$

Taking $p=3,m=4$ in (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta^{\star}\left(4,\{1\}_{4}\right)=&\zeta\left(3\right)\zeta\left(5\right)-\zeta\left(2\right)\zeta\left(5,1\right)+5\zeta\left(6,1,1\right)+\zeta\left(5,2,1\right)+\zeta\left(5,1,2\right)\\
=&\frac{107}{16}\zeta\left(8\right)-6\zeta\left(3\right)\zeta\left(5\right)+\frac{1}{2}\zeta\left(2\right)\zeta^{2}\left(3\right)+\frac{3}{4}S_{2,6},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where $S_{2,6}=\zeta^{\star}\left(6,2\right)$. On the other hand, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta^{\star}\left(4,\{1\}_{4}\right)=&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\zeta_{n}^{\star}\left(\{1\}_{4}\right)}{n^{4}}=\frac{1}{24}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{Y_{4}\left(n\right)}{n^{4}}\\
=&\frac{1}{24}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_{n}^{4}+8H_{n}\zeta_{n}\left(3\right)+6H_{n}^{2}\zeta_{n}\left(2\right)+3\zeta_{n}^{2}\left(2\right)+6\zeta_{n}\left(4\right)}{n^{4}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

In [35], we proved the results

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_{n}\zeta_{n}\left(3\right)}{n^{4}}=-\frac{511}{144}\zeta\left(8\right)+7\zeta\left(3\right)\zeta\left(5\right)+\zeta\left(2\right)\zeta^{2}\left(3\right)-\frac{25}{4}S_{2,6}, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\zeta_{n}^{2}\left(2\right)}{n^{4}}=11S_{2,6}+\frac{457}{18}\zeta\left(8\right)+6\zeta\left(2\right)\zeta^{2}\left(3\right)-40\zeta\left(3\right)\zeta\left(5\right), \tag{3.18}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{6H_{n}^{2}\zeta_{n}\left(2\right)-H_{n}^{4}}{n^{4}}=-17S_{2,6}-10\zeta\left(2\right)\zeta^{2}\left(3\right)+104\zeta\left(3\right)\zeta\left(5\right)-\frac{5911}{72}\zeta\left(8\right), \tag{3.19}$$

Substituting (3.17) and (3.18) into (3.17) respectively, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n^4+6H_n^2\zeta_n(2)}{n^4}=\frac{956}{9}\zeta(8)-80\zeta(3)\zeta(5)-14\zeta(2)\zeta^2(3)+35S_{2,6}. \tag{3.20}$$

Hence, combining (3.19) and (3.10), we obtain the identities

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n^4}{n^4}=\frac{13559}{144}\zeta(8)-92\zeta(3)\zeta(5)-2\zeta(2)\zeta^2(3)+26S_{2,6}, \tag{3.21}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n^2\zeta_n(2)}{n^4}=\frac{193}{96}\zeta(8)+2\zeta(3)\zeta(5)-2\zeta(2)\zeta^2(3)+\frac{3}{2}S_{2,6}. \tag{3.22}$$

In fact, using the method of this paper, it is possible to evaluate other Euler sums involving harmonic numbers. For example, we have used our method to obtain the following explicit evaluations,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n^2\zeta_n(2)}{n^2}=\frac{41}{12}\zeta(6)+2\zeta^2(3),$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n^2\zeta_n(2)}{n^3}=-7\zeta(7)+\frac{19}{2}\zeta(3)\zeta(4)-2\zeta(2)\zeta(5),$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n^3\zeta_n(2)}{n^2}=\frac{83}{16}\zeta(7)+\frac{27}{2}\zeta(3)\zeta(4)-\frac{5}{2}\zeta(2)\zeta(5),$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n^2\zeta_n(2)}{n^3}=-7\zeta(7)+\frac{19}{2}\zeta(3)\zeta(4)-2\zeta(2)\zeta(5),$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n^2\zeta_n(3)}{n^2}=\frac{329}{16}\zeta(7)-6\zeta(3)\zeta(4)-\frac{9}{2}\zeta(2)\zeta(5),$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n\zeta_n^2(2)}{n^2}=-\frac{217}{16}\zeta(7)+5\zeta(3)\zeta(4)+\frac{13}{2}\zeta(2)\zeta(5),$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n^4\zeta_n(2)}{n^2}=\frac{1289}{96}\zeta(8)-11\zeta(3)\zeta(5)+5S_{2,6},$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n^2\zeta_n(3)}{n^3}=-\frac{443}{288}\zeta(8)+\frac{9}{2}\zeta(3)\zeta(5)+\frac{3}{2}\zeta(2)\zeta^2(3)-\frac{23}{4}S_{2,6},$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n^5}{n^3}=\frac{60499}{288}\zeta(8)-\frac{393}{2}\zeta(3)\zeta(5)-\frac{15}{2}\zeta(2)\zeta^2(3)+\frac{235}{4}S_{2,6},$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n^3\zeta_n(2)}{n^3}=-\frac{2159}{48}\zeta(8)+\frac{93}{2}\zeta(3)\zeta(5)+\frac{3}{2}\zeta(2)\zeta^2(3)-\frac{53}{4}S_{2,6},$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n\zeta_n^2(2)}{n^3}=-\frac{6313}{288}\zeta(8)+\frac{43}{2}\zeta(3)\zeta(5)+\frac{1}{2}\zeta(2)\zeta^2(3)-\frac{17}{4}S_{2,6},$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n^2\zeta_n(2)}{n^5}=-\frac{1481}{72}\zeta(9)-3\zeta^3(3)-5\zeta(2)\zeta(7)+\frac{295}{24}\zeta(3)\zeta(6)+18\zeta(4)\zeta(5).$$

In [23], Masanobu Kaneko and Yasuo Ohno proved that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^k\zeta^\star\left(k+1,\{1\}_n\right)-(-1)^n\zeta^\star\left(n+1,\{1\}_k\right)\\
&=k\zeta\left(k+2,\{1\}_{n-1}\right)-n\zeta\left(n+2,\{1\}_{k-1}\right)\\
&\quad+(-1)^k\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}(-1)^j\zeta\left(k-j\right)\zeta\left(n+1,\{1\}_j\right)\\
&\quad-(-1)^n\sum_{j=0}^{n-2}(-1)^j\zeta\left(n-j\right)\zeta\left(k+1,\{1\}_j\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.23}$$

Combining (2.30), (3.5), (3.8) and (3.23), we obtain the following results

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n s\left(n,p\right)}{n!n^m}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{H_n s\left(n,m\right)}{n!n^p}=p\zeta\left(p+2,\{1\}_{m-1}\right)-m\zeta\left(m+2,\{1\}_{p-1}\right),\tag{3.24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}\zeta\left(m+1,\{1\}_{i-1},2,\{1\}_{p-1-i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\zeta\left(p+1,\{1\}_{i-1},2,\{1\}_{m-1-i}\right)\\
&=\zeta\left(p+2,\{1\}_{m-1}\right)-\zeta\left(m+2,\{1\}_{p-1}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.25}$$

4 Evaluation of the alternating multiple zeta star values $\zeta^\star(\bar{1},\{1\}_m,\bar{1})$ and $\zeta^\star(2,\{1\}_m,\bar{1})$

In this section, we will show that the alternating multiple zeta star values $\zeta^\star(\bar{1},\{1\}_m,\bar{1})$ and $\zeta^\star(2,\{1\}_m,\bar{1})$ satisfy certain recurrence relations that allow us to write them in terms of zeta values, polylogarithms and $\ln 2$. In (1.1), (1.2), (1.11), (1.12), we put a bar on top of s_j $(j=1,2,\cdots,m)$ if there is a sign $(-1)^{k_j}$ appearing in the denominator on the right. For example

$$\zeta^\star\left(\bar{s}_1,s_2,\cdots,s_{k-1},\bar{s}_k\right):=\sum_{n_1\geq n_2\geq\cdots\geq n_k\geq 1}\frac{(-1)^{n_1+n_k}}{n_1^{s_1}n_2^{s_2}\cdots n_k^{s_k}}$$

$$\zeta_n^\star\left(\bar{s}_1,s_2,\cdots s_{k-1},\bar{s}_k\right):=\sum_{n\geq n_1\geq n_2\geq\cdots\geq n_k\geq 1}\frac{(-1)^{n_1+n_k}}{n_1^{s_1}n_2^{s_2}\cdots n_k^{s_k}}$$

Now, we prove two lemmas which will be useful in proving Theorem 4.3 and 4.4.

Lemma 4.1 *For integer $m>0$, the following identity holds,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1\frac{\ln^m(1+x)\ln(1-x)}{1+x}dx=&\frac{1}{m+1}\ln^{m+2}2-\zeta\left(2\right)\ln^m 2\\
&-\sum_{k=1}^{m}\binom{m}{k}(-1)^{k+1}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\displaystyle\sum_{l=1}^{k}l!\binom{k}{l}(\ln 2)^{m-l}\mathrm{Li}_{l+2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\\ -k!(\ln 2)^{m-k}\zeta\left(k+2\right)\end{array}\right\}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

Proof. To prove (3.1), noting that the integral in (4.1), by change the variable $x \mapsto 2t-1$, which can be rewritten as

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\ln^m(1+x)\ln(1-x)}{1+x}dx \overset{1+x=2t}{=} \int_{1/2}^1 \frac{\ln^m(2t)\ln(2-2t)}{t}dt$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} (\ln 2)^{m-k+1} \int_{1/2}^1 \frac{(\ln t)^k}{t}dt + \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} (\ln 2)^{m-k} \int_{1/2}^1 \frac{(\ln t)^k \ln(1-t)}{t}dt$$

$$= (\ln 2)^{m+2} \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} - \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} (\ln 2)^{m-k} \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n} \int_{1/2}^1 t^{n-1}(\ln t)^k dt \right). \tag{4.2}$$

Noting that $\sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} = \frac{1}{m+1}$ and using the following elementary integral identity

$$\int_0^x t^{n-1}(\ln t)^m dt = \sum_{l=0}^m l! \binom{m}{l} \frac{(-1)^l}{n^{l+1}} (\ln x)^{m-l} x^n, x \in (0,1),$$

we can deduce the following results

$$\int_0^1 t^{n-1}(\ln t)^m dt = m! \frac{(-1)^m}{n^{m+1}}, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\int_0^{1/2} t^{n-1}(\ln t)^m dt = (-1)^m \sum_{l=0}^m l! \binom{m}{l} (\ln 2)^{m-l} \frac{1}{2^n n^{l+1}}, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\int_{1/2}^1 t^{n-1}(\ln t)^m dt = m! \frac{(-1)^m}{n^{m+1}} + (-1)^{m-1} \sum_{l=0}^m l! \binom{m}{l} (\ln 2)^{m-l} \frac{1}{2^n n^{l+1}}. \tag{4.5}$$

Substituting (4.5) into (4.2) respectively, we can obtain (2.9). This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1. □

Lemma 4.2 *If $m \geq 1$ is a integer and $z \in [0,1]$, then have*

$$\int_0^z \frac{\ln^m(1+x)}{x}dx = \frac{1}{m+1}\ln^{m+1}(1+z) + m! \left(\zeta(m+1) - \mathrm{Li}_{m+1}\left(\frac{1}{1+z}\right)\right)$$
$$- m! \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\ln^{m-j+1}(1+z)}{(m-j+1)!} \mathrm{Li}_j\left(\frac{1}{1+z}\right). \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. We note that the integral in (4.6), which can be rewritten as

$$\int_0^z \frac{\ln^m(1+x)}{x}dx \overset{t=1+x}{=} \int_1^{1+z} \frac{\ln^m t}{t-1}dt \overset{u=t^{-1}}{=} (-1)^{m+1} \int_1^{(1+z)^{-1}} \frac{\ln^m u}{u-u^2}du$$

$$=(-1)^{m+1}\left\{\int_1^{(1+z)^{-1}}\frac{\ln^m u}{u}du+\int_1^{(1+z)^{-1}}\frac{\ln^m u}{1-u}du\right\}$$
$$=\frac{1}{m+1}\ln^{m+1}(1+z)+(-1)^{m+1}\int_1^{(1+z)^{-1}}\frac{\ln^m u}{1-u}du. \tag{4.7}$$

Applying (2.33), we arrive at the conclusion that

$$\int_1^{(1+z)^{-1}}\frac{\ln^m u}{1-u}du=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_1^{(1+z)^{-1}}u^{n-1}\ln^m u du=(-1)^{m+1}m!\left(\zeta(m+1)-\mathrm{Li}_{m+1}\left((1+z)^{-1}\right)\right)$$
$$+m!(-1)^m\sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{\ln^{m-j+1}(1+z)}{(m-j+1)!}\mathrm{Li}_j\left((1+z)^{-1}\right). \tag{4.8}$$

Substituting (4.8) into (4.7) yields the desired result. □

Theorem 4.3 *For integer $m>1$, we have the recurrence relation*

$$\zeta^\star\left(\bar{1},\{1\}_{m-1},\bar{1}\right)=\frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!}\zeta(2)\ln^{m-1}2$$
$$-\frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m!}\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}(-1)^{i+1}i!\binom{m}{i}(\ln 2)^{m-i}\left\{\zeta^\star\left(\bar{1},\{1\}_{i-1},\bar{1}\right)-\zeta^\star\left(\bar{1},\{1\}_i\right)\right\}$$
$$+\frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\binom{m-1}{k}(-1)^{k+1}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\displaystyle\sum_{l=1}^{k}l!\binom{k}{l}(\ln 2)^{m-1-l}\mathrm{Li}_{l+2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\\ -k!(\ln 2)^{m-1-k}\zeta(k+2)\end{array}\right\}. \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. Multiplying (2.2) by $(-1)^{n-1}$ and summing with respect to n, the result is

$$\int_0^x\frac{\ln^m(1-t)}{1+t}dt=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1}\int_0^x t^{n-1}\ln^m(1-t)dt$$
$$=\ln^m(1-x)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{x^n-1}{n}(-1)^{n-1}+m!(-1)^m\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n}\sum_{1\le k_m\le\cdots\le k_1\le n}\frac{x^{k_m}}{k_1\cdots k_m}$$
$$-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}(-1)^{i-1}i!\binom{m}{i}\ln^{m-i}(1-x)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n}\sum_{1\le k_i\le\cdots\le k_1\le n}\frac{x^{k_i}-1}{k_1\cdots k_i}. \tag{4.10}$$

On the other hand, by integration by parts, we obtain the formula

$$\lim_{x\to-1}\left\{\int_0^x\frac{\ln^m(1-t)}{1+t}dt-\ln^m(1-x)\ln(1+x)\right\}$$

$$= \lim_{x\to -1}\left\{ m\int_0^x \frac{\ln^{m-1}(1-t)\ln(1+t)}{1-t}dt \right\}$$
$$= m\int_0^{-1} \frac{\ln^{m-1}(1-t)\ln(1+t)}{1-t}dt$$
$$= -m\int_0^1 \frac{\ln^{m-1}(1+t)\ln(1-t)}{1+t}dt,$$

Hence, letting x approach -1 in (4.10) and combining (4.1), we can deduce (4.9). □
Noting that, taking $x \to 1$ in (4.7), we have the result

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\ln^m(1-t)}{1+t}dt = m!(-1)^{m-1}\zeta^\star\left(\bar{1},\{1\}_m\right) = (-1)^m m!\mathrm{Li}_{m+1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\zeta^\star\left(\bar{1},\{1\}_m\right) = -\mathrm{Li}_{m+1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right). \tag{4.11}$$

Theorem 4.4 *For integer $m > 1$, we have the recurrence relation*

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^\star\left(2,\{1\}_{m-1},\bar{1}\right) =& \frac{m+1}{(m+2)!}(-1)^{m+1}\ln^{m+2}2 + (m+1)(-1)^{m+1}\left(\zeta(m+2) - \mathrm{Li}_{m+2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)\\ &- (m+1)(-1)^{m+1}\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\frac{(\ln 2)^{m+2-j}}{(m+2-j)!}\mathrm{Li}_j\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{3}{2}\frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m!}(\ln 2)^m\zeta(2)\\ &- \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m!}\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}(-1)^{i-1}i!\binom{m}{i}(\ln 2)^{m-i}\left\{\zeta^\star\left(2,\{1\}_{i-1},\bar{1}\right) - \zeta^\star\left(2,\{1\}_i\right)\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 4.3, we consider the integral

$$\int_0^{-1}\frac{\ln^{m+1}(1-t)}{t}dt = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\int_0^{-1}t^{n-1}\ln^m(1-t)\,dt. \tag{4.13}$$

Putting $x \to -1$ in (2.2), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{-1} t^{n-1}\ln^m(1-t)dt =& \frac{1}{n}\ln^m 2\left((-1)^n - 1\right) + m!\frac{(-1)^m}{n}\zeta_n^\star\left(\{1\}_m,\bar{1}\right)\\ &- \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}(-1)^{i-1}i!\binom{m}{i}\ln^{m-i}2\left\{\zeta_n^\star\left(\{1\}_{i-1},\bar{1}\right) - \zeta_n^\star\left(\{1\}_i\right)\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Setting $x \to 1$ in (4.6) and combining (4.13) with (4.14), we can obtain (4.12). □
By considering the case $m = 2$ in (4.9) and (4.12) we get

$$\zeta^\star\left(\bar{1},1,\bar{1}\right) = \frac{1}{8}\zeta(3) + \frac{1}{2}\zeta(2)\ln 2 - \frac{1}{6}\ln^3 2,$$

$$\zeta^{\star}\left(2,1,\bar{1}\right)=\frac{1}{8}\ln^{4}2+3\mathrm{Li}_{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)-3\zeta\left(4\right)-\frac{3}{2}\zeta\left(2\right)\ln^{2}2+\frac{7}{8}\zeta\left(3\right)\ln 2.$$

Therefore, from Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3, we know that the alternating multiple zeta star values $\zeta^{\star}(\bar{1},\{1\}_{m},\bar{1})$ and $\zeta^{\star}(2,\{1\}_{m},\bar{1})$ can be expressed as a rational linear combination of zeta values, polylogarithms and $\ln 2$.

5 Some identities for $H\left(a,b;m,p\right)$ and $H^{\star}\left(a,b;m,p\right)$

In this section, we will prove the sums $H\left(a,b;m,p\right)$ and $H^{\star}\left(a,b;m,p\right)$ can be expressed in terms of the Riemann zeta values, where $a,b\in\mathbb{N}_{0}$, the sums $H\left(a,b;m,p\right)$ and $H^{\star}\left(a,b;m,p\right)$ are defined by

$$H\left(a,b;m,p\right):=\sum_{a+b=m-1}\zeta\left(\{p\}_{a},p+1,\{p\}_{b}\right),m,p\in\mathbb{N},$$

$$H^{\star}\left(a,b;m,p\right):=\sum_{a+b=m-1}\zeta^{\star}\left(\{p\}_{a},p+1,\{p\}_{b}\right),m,p\in\mathbb{N}.$$

We define the parametric Hurwitz zeta function and parametric Hurwitz zeta star function by

$$\zeta\left(s_{1},s_{2},\cdots,s_{m};a+1\right):=\sum_{1\leq k_{1}<\cdots<k_{m}}\frac{1}{\left(k_{1}+a\right)^{s_{1}}\left(k_{1}+a\right)^{s_{2}}\cdots\left(k_{m}+a\right)^{s_{m}}},$$

$$\zeta^{\star}\left(s_{1},s_{2},\cdots,s_{m};a+1\right):=\sum_{1\leq k_{1}\leq\cdots\leq k_{m}}\frac{1}{\left(k_{1}+a\right)^{s_{1}}\left(k_{1}+a\right)^{s_{2}}\cdots\left(k_{m}+a\right)^{s_{m}}},$$

where $\Re(s_{1})>1,\ s_{i}\geq 1,\ m\in\mathbb{N},\ a\neq-1,-2,\cdots$. When $m=1$, then the Hurwitz zeta function (or parametric Hurwitz zeta star function) reduces to the classical Hurwitz zeta function, which is defined by

$$\zeta\left(s,a+1\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\left(n+a\right)^{s}}.$$

Theorem 5.1 *Define two sequence $A_{m}(n)$ and $B_{m}(n)$ by*

$$A_{m}(n)=(m-1)!\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\frac{A_{i}(n)}{i!}\sum_{k=1}^{n}x_{k}^{m-i},A_{0}(n)=1,\left(x_{k}\in\mathbb{C},k=1,2,\cdots,n\right),$$

$$B_{m}(n)=\sum_{k_{1}=1}^{n}x_{k_{1}}\sum_{k_{2}=1}^{k_{1}}x_{k_{2}}\cdots\sum_{k_{m}=1}^{k_{m-1}}x_{k_{m}},B_{0}(n)=1,\left(x_{k}\in\mathbb{C},k=1,2,\cdots,n\right).$$

Then

$$A_{m}(n)=m!B_{m}(n). \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. By induction, we can tell that $A_{m}(n)$ and $B_{m}(n)$ are polynomials of degree m with n variables $x_{1},x_{2},\cdots x_{n}$, moreover, $B_{m}(n)$ has coefficient 1 at $x_{1}^{s_{1}}x_{2}^{s_{2}}\cdots x_{n}^{s_{n}}$, where $\sum_{k=1}^{n}s_{k}=m$, and $s_{k}\geq 0$. Suppose $c_{s_{1},\cdots,s_{n}}$ is the coefficient of $A_{m}(n)$ at $x_{1}^{s_{1}}x_{2}^{s_{2}}\cdots x_{n}^{s_{n}}$, then in order to get the conclusion, all we need to do is prove that $c_{s_{1},\cdots,s_{n}}=m!$ by induction. For convenience, we

can suppose $c_{t_1,\cdots,t_n}=0$ if there is a $t_i<0$, otherwise $c_{t_1,\cdots,t_n}$ is the coefficient of $A_{t_1+\cdots+t_n}(n)$ at $x_1^{t_1}x_2^{t_2}\cdots x_n^{t_n}$. For $m=0$, the conclusion holds. If the conclusion holds for any $i\leq m-1$. For m, by the recursion formula of $A_m(n)$, $c_{s_1,\cdots,s_n}=(m-1)!\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{c_{s_1,\cdots,s_k-(m-i),\cdots,s_n}}{i!}$, since for $0\leq i\leq m-1$, $\frac{A_i(n)}{i!}\sum_{k=1}^{n}x_k^{m-i}$ at $x_1^{s_1}x_2^{s_2}\cdots x_n^{s_n}=x_1^{s_1}\cdots x_k^{s_k-(m-i)}\cdots x_n^{s_n}\cdot x_k^{m-i}$, where $1\leq k\leq n$, provides coefficient $\frac{c_{s_1,\cdots,s_k-(m-i),\cdots,s_n}}{i!}$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{s_1,\cdots,s_n}&=(m-1)!\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\frac{c_{s_1,\cdots,s_k-(m-i),\cdots,s_n}}{i!}\\
&=(m-1)!\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{i_k=m-s_k}^{m-1}\frac{c_{s_1,\cdots,s_k-(m-i_k),\cdots,s_n}}{i_k!}\\
&=(m-1)!\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{i_k=m-s_k}^{m-1}1\\
&=(m-1)!\sum_{k=1}^{n}((m-1)-(m-s_k)+1)\\
&=(m-1)!\sum_{k=1}^{n}s_k\\
&=m!
\end{aligned}$$

since $c_{s_1,\cdots,s_k-(m-i_k),\cdots,s_n}=i_k!$ by the inductive assumption. So the conclusion holds by induction. So far we have completed the proof of Theorem 5.1. □

Let $X_n(m)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i^m$ in Theorem 5.1, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_0(n)=1, B_1(n)=X_n(1), B_2(n)=\frac{X_n^2(1)+X_n(2)}{2},\\
&B_3(n)=\frac{X_n^3(1)+3X_n(1)X_n(2)+2X_n(3)}{3!},\\
&B_4(n)=\frac{X_n^4(1)+8X_n(1)X_n(3)+3X_n^2(2)+6X_n^2(1)X_n(2)+6X_n(4)}{4!},\\
&B_5(n)=\frac{1}{5!}\left\{\begin{array}{l}X_n^5(1)+10X_n^3(1)X_n(2)+20X_n^2(1)X_n(3)+15X_n(1)X_n^2(2)\\+30X_n(1)X_n(4)+20X_n(2)X_n(3)+24X_n(5)\end{array}\right\},\\
&B_m(n)=\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}B_i(n)X_n(m-i).
\end{aligned}\tag{5.2}$$

Furthermore, we are able to obtain relations involving multiple zeta-star values and zeta values. For example, taking $x_i=\frac{1}{i^p}, p>1$ $(i=1,\cdots,n)$ and substituting it into Theorem 2.3, letting $n\to\infty$, we have

$$\zeta^\star(\{p\}_m)=\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\zeta^\star(\{p\}_i)\zeta(pm-pi).\tag{5.3}$$

where $\{p\}_m$ denotes the m-tuple $\{p,...,p\}$, $\zeta^\star(\{p\}_0)=1$. Hence ,we obtain

$$\zeta^\star(\{2\}_2)=\frac{7}{4}\zeta(4),\zeta^\star(\{2\}_3)=\frac{31}{16}\zeta(6),\zeta^\star(\{2\}_4)=\frac{127}{64}\zeta(8),$$
$$\zeta^\star(\{3\}_2)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta^2(3)+\zeta(6)\right),\zeta^\star(\{3\}_3)=\frac{1}{3!}\left(\zeta^3(3)+3\zeta(3)\zeta(6)+2\zeta(9)\right),$$
$$\zeta^\star(\{3\}_4)=\frac{1}{4!}\left(\zeta^4(3)+8\zeta(3)\zeta(9)+3\zeta^2(6)+6\zeta^2(3)\zeta(6)+6\zeta(12)\right).$$

By a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, we can gives the following Theorem.

Theorem 5.2 *Define two sequence $\bar{A}_m(n)$ and $\bar{B}_m(n)$ by*

$$\bar{A}_m(n)=(m-1)!(-1)^{m-1}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}(-1)^i\frac{\bar{A}_i(n)}{i!}\sum_{k=1}^{n}x_k^{m-i},\bar{A}_0(n)=1,$$

$$\bar{B}_m(n)=\sum_{k_1=1}^{n}x_{k_1}\sum_{k_2=1}^{k_1-1}x_{k_2}\cdots\sum_{k_m=1}^{k_{m-1}-1}x_{k_m},\bar{B}_0(n)=1.$$

Then

$$\bar{A}_m(n)=m!\bar{B}_m(n). \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. By induction, we can tell that $\bar{A}_m(n)$ and $\bar{B}_m(n)$ are polynomials of degree m with n variables $x_1,x_2,\cdots x_n$, moreover, $\bar{B}_m(n)$ has coefficient 1 at $x_1^{s_1}x_2^{s_2}\cdots x_n^{s_n}$, when $\sum_{k=1}^{n}s_k=m$, and $0\le s_k\le 1$, otherwise, the coefficient is 0. Suppose $c_{s_1,\cdots,s_n}$ is the coefficient of $\bar{A}_m(n)$ at $x_1^{s_1}x_2^{s_2}\cdots x_n^{s_n}$, then in order to get the conclusion, all we need to do is prove that $c_{s_1,\cdots,s_n}=m!$ if $0\le s_k\le 1$, and $c_{s_1,\cdots,s_n}=0$ if there is a k such that $s_k\ge 2$ by induction. For convenience, we can suppose $c_{t_1,\cdots,t_n}=0$ if there is a $t_i<0$, otherwise $c_{t_1,\cdots,t_n}$ is the coefficient of $\bar{A}_{t_1+\cdots+t_n}(n)$ at $x_1^{t_1}x_2^{t_2}\cdots x_n^{t_n}$.
For $m=0$, the conclusion holds. If the conclusion holds for any $i\le m-1$. For m, by the recursion formula of $\bar{A}_m(n)$, $c_{s_1,\cdots,s_n}=(m-1)!(-1)^{m-1}\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^i\frac{c_{s_1,\cdots,s_k-(m-i),\cdots,s_n}}{i!}$, since for $1\le i\le m-1$, $\frac{\bar{A}_i(n)}{i!}\sum_{k=1}^{n}x_k^{m-i}$ at $x_1^{s_1}x_2^{s_2}\cdots x_n^{s_n}=x_1^{s_1}\cdots x_k^{s_k-(m-i)}\cdots x_n^{s_n}\cdot x_k^{m-i}$, where $1\le k\le n$, provides coefficient $\frac{c_{s_1,\cdots,s_k-(m-i),\cdots,s_n}}{i!}$. So, If there are k_1,k_2 such that $s_{k_1},s_{k_2}\ge 2$, then $c_{s_1,\cdots,s_k-(m-i),\cdots,s_n}=0$ for any $0\le i\le m-1,0\le k\le n$, so $c_{s_1,\cdots,s_n}=0$. If there is only one k such that $s_k\ge 2$, say j, then $c_{s_1,\cdots,s_k-(m-i),\cdots,s_n}=0$ for any $0\le i\le m-1,0\le k\le n$ and

$k \neq j$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{s_1,\cdots,s_n} &= (m-1)!(-1)^{m-1}\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^i\frac{c_{s_1,\cdots,s_k-(m-i),\cdots,s_n}}{i!} \\
&= (m-1)!(-1)^{m-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{i_k=m-s_k}^{m-1}(-1)^{i_k}\frac{c_{s_1,\cdots,s_k-(m-i_k),\cdots,s_n}}{i!} \\
&= (m-1)!(-1)^{m-1}\sum_{i=m-s_j}^{m-1}(-1)^i\frac{c_{s_1,\cdots,s_j-(m-i),\cdots,s_n}}{i!} \\
&= (m-1)!(-1)^{m-1}((-1)^{m-s_j}c_{s_1,\cdots,s_{j-1},0,s_{j+1}\cdots,s_n}+(-1)^{m-s_j+1}c_{s_1,\cdots,s_{j-1},1,s_{j+1},\cdots,s_n}) \\
&= (m-1)!(-1)^{m-1}((-1)^{m-s_j}+(-1)^{m-s_j+1}) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

If $0 \leq s_k \leq 1$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{s_1,\cdots,s_n} &= (m-1)!(-1)^{m-1}\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^i\frac{c_{s_1,\cdots,s_k-(m-i),\cdots,s_n}}{i!} \\
&= (m-1)!(-1)^{m-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{i_k=m-s_k}^{m-1}(-1)^{i_k}\frac{c_{s_1,\cdots,s_k-(m-i_k),\cdots,s_n}}{i_k!} \\
&= (m-1)!(-1)^{m-1}\sum_{\substack{1\leq k\leq n\\ s_k=1}}\sum_{i_k=m-1}^{m-1}(-1)^{i_k}\frac{c_{s_1,\cdots,1-(m-i_k),\cdots,s_n}}{i_k!} \\
&= (m-1)!(-1)^{m-1}\sum_{\substack{1\leq k\leq n\\ s_k=1}}(-1)^{m-1}\frac{c_{s_1,\cdots,s_{k-1},0,s_{k+1},\cdots,s_n}}{(m-1)!} \\
&= (m-1)!\sum_{\substack{1\leq k\leq n\\ s_k=1}}1 \\
&= m!
\end{aligned}$$

Since $c_{s_1,\cdots,s_{k-1},0,s_{k+1},\cdots,s_n} = (\sum_{k=1}^{n}s_k - 1)! = (m-1)!$ by the inductive assumption. So the conclusion holds by induction. □

Let $X_n(m) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^m$ in Theorem 5.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{B}_1(n) = X_n(1), \bar{B}_2(n) = \frac{X_n^2(1) - X_n(2)}{2!}, \bar{B}_3(n) = \frac{X_n^3(1) - 3X_n(1)X_n(2) + 2X_n(3)}{3!}, \\
&\bar{B}_4(n) = \frac{X_n^4(1) - 6X_n^2(1)X_n(2) + 8X_n(1)X_n(3) + 3X_n^2(2) - 6X_n(4)}{4!}, \\
&\bar{B}_5(n) = \frac{1}{5!}\left\{\begin{array}{l} X_n^5(1) - 10X_n^3(1)X_n(2) + 20X_n^2(1)X_n(3) + 15X_n(1)X_n^2(2) \\ -30X_n(1)X_n(4) - 20X_n(2)X_n(3) + 24X_n(5) \end{array}\right\}, \\
&\bar{B}_m(n) = \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}(-1)^i\bar{B}_i(n)X_n(m-i). \qquad (5.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Putting $x_i = \dfrac{1}{i^p}, p > 1$ $(i = 1, \cdots, n)$ and $n \to \infty$ in Theorem 5.2, we obtain

$$\zeta\left(\{p\}_m\right) = \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \zeta\left(\{p\}_i\right) \zeta\left(pm - pi\right). \tag{5.6}$$

Taking $x_k = \dfrac{1}{(k+a)^p}$ $(p > 1)$ in (5.3) and (5.5), letting $n \to \infty$, we can obtain the results

$$\zeta\left(\{p\}_m; a+1\right) = \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \zeta\left(\{p\}_i; a+1\right) \zeta\left(pm - pi, a+1\right), \tag{5.7}$$

$$\zeta^\star\left(\{p\}_m; a+1\right) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \zeta^\star\left(\{p\}_i; a+1\right) \zeta\left(pm - pi, a+1\right). \tag{5.8}$$

By using (5.7) and (5.8), we can obtain the following Theorems.

Theorem 5.3 *For integers $p > 1, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $a \neq -1, -2, \cdots$, we have the recurrence formulas*

$$\begin{aligned} H\left(a, b; m, p\right) =& (-1)^{m-1} \zeta\left(pm + 1\right) + \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (-1)^i \zeta\left(pm - pi\right) H\left(a, b; i, p\right) \\ &+ \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (-1)^i \left(m - i\right) \zeta\left(pm - pi + 1\right) \zeta\left(\{p\}_i\right), \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H^\star\left(a, b; m, p\right) =& \zeta\left(pm + 1\right) + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \zeta\left(pm - pi\right) H^\star\left(a, b; i, p\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(m - i\right) \zeta\left(pm - pi + 1\right) \zeta^\star\left(\{p\}_i\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. In (5.6) and (5.7), taking the derivative with respect to a gives

$$\begin{aligned} H\left(a, b; m, p\right) =& -\frac{1}{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left(\zeta\left(\{p\}_m; a+1\right)\right)\Big|_{a=0} \\ =& -\frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{pm} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left(\zeta\left(\{p\}_i; a+1\right) \zeta\left(pm - pi, a+1\right)\right)\Big|_{a=0}, \\ H^\star\left(a, b; m, p\right) =& -\frac{1}{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left(\zeta^\star\left(\{p\}_m; a+1\right)\right)\Big|_{a=0} \\ =& -\frac{1}{pm} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left(\zeta^\star\left(\{p\}_i; a+1\right) \zeta\left(pm - pi, a+1\right)\right)\Big|_{a=0}. \end{aligned}$$

By simple caluculation, we obtain the result. □

From (5.3), (5.6), (5.9) and (5.10), we can give the following corollary.

Corollary 5.4 *For integers $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then the sums*

$$\sum_{a+b=m-1} \zeta\left(\{p\}_a, p+1, \{p\}_b\right)$$

and

$$\sum_{a+b=m-1} \zeta^\star\left(\{p\}_a, p+1, \{p\}_b\right)$$

can be expressed as a rational linear combination of products of Riemann zeta values.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her helpful comments, which improve the presentation of the paper.

References

[1] David H. Bailey, Jonathan M. Borwein and Roland Girgensohn. *Experimental evaluation of Euler sums*. Experimental Mathematics., 1994, **3**(1): 17-30.

[2] J. Blumlein, S. Kurth. *Harmonic sums and Mellin transforms up to two loop order*. Phys. Rev. D., 1999, **60**: 14-18.

[3] David Borwein, Jonathan M. Borwein and Roland Girgensohn. *Explicit evaluation of Euler sums*. Proc. Edinburgh Math., 1995, **38**: 277-294.

[4] J. Borwein, P. Borwein, R. Girgensohn, S. Parnes. *Making sense of experimental mathematics*. Mathematical Intelligencer., 1996, **18**(4): 12-18.

[5] Jonathan M. Borwein, David M. Bradley, David J. Broadhurst. *Evaluations of k-fold Euler/Zagier sums: a compendium of results for arbitrary k*. Electronic Journal of Combinatorics., 1997, **4**(2): 1-21.

[6] J. M. Borwein, D.M. Bradley. *Multiple polylogarithms: a brief survey*. Contemp. Math., 2001, **291**: 71-92.

[7] Jonathan M. Borwein, David M. Bradley, David J. Broadhurst, Petr. Lisonk. *Special values of multiple polylogarithms*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 2001, **353**(3): 907-941.

[8] J. M. Borwein, I. J. Zucker, J. Boersma. *The evaluation of character Euler double sums*. Ramanujan J., 2008, **15** (3): 377-405.

[9] J. M. Borwein, R. Girgensohn. *Evaluation of triple Euler sums*, Electron. J. Combin., 1996: 2-7.

[10] Kwang-Wu Chen, Chan-Liang Chung, Minking Eie. *Sum formulas and duality theorems of multiple zeta values*. J. Number Theory., 2016, **158**: 33-53.

[11] Chan-Liang Chung, Minking Eie, Tung-Yang Lee. *Another expression of the restricted sum formula of multiple zeta values*. J. Number Theory., 2016, **166**: 452-472.

[12] Ayhan Dil, Khristo N. Boyadzhiev. *Euler sums of hyperharmonic numbers*. J. Number Theory., 2015, **147**: 490-498.

[13] V.G. Drinfeld. *On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and on a group that is closely connected with Gal(Q/Q)*. Leningrad Math. J., 1991, **2**(4): 829-860.

[14] M. Eie, W.C. Liaw, Y.L. Ong. *A restricted sum formula among multiple zeta values*. J. Number Theory., 2009, **129**: 908-921.

[15] Minking Eie, Tung-Yang Lee. *Identities among restricted sums of multiple zeta values*. J. Number Theory., 2016, **164**: 208-222.

[16] L. Euler. *Meditationes circa singulare serierum genus. Novi Comm. Acad. Sci. Petropol.* 177, **520**: 140-186. Reprinted in Opera Omnia, ser. I, vol. 15. B. G. Teubner, Berlin, 1927: 217-267.

[17] Philippe Flajolet, Bruno Salvy. *Euler sums and contour integral representations.* Experimental Mathematics., 1998, **7**(1): 15–35.

[18] Pedro Freitas. *Integrals of polylogarithmic functions, recurrence relations, and associated Euler sums.* Mathematics of Computation,. 2005, **74**(251): 1425-1440.

[19] M. E. Hoffman. *Multiple harmonic series.* Pacific J. Math., 1992, (152): 275-290.

[20] M. Igarashi. On generalizations of the sum formula for multiple zeta values. arXiv:1110.4875

[21] Comtet L. *Advanced combinatorics*, Boston: D Reidel Publishing Company, 1974.

[22] Zhonghua Li. *Another proof of Zagier's evaluation formula of the multiple zeta values* $\zeta(2..,2,3,2..,2)$. Mathematical Research Letters,. 2012, **20**(5).

[23] Masanobu Kaneko, Yasuo Ohno. *On a kind of duality of multiple zeta-star values.* International Journal of Number Theory., 2010, **8**(8): 1927-1932.

[24] C. Markett. *Triple Sums and the Riemann Zeta Function.* J. Number Theory, 1994, **48**(2): 113-132.

[25] I. Mezö, A. Dil. *Hyperharmonic series involving Hurwitz zeta function.* Journal of Number Theory, 2010, **130**(2): 360–369.

[26] I. Mezö. *Nonlinear Euler sums.* Pacific J. Math., 2014, **272**: 201-226.

[27] Y. Ohno. *A generalization of the duality and sum formulas on the multiple zeta values.* J. Number Theory., 1999, **74**(1): 39-43.

[28] Kh. Hessami Pilehrood, T. Hessami Pilehrood, R. Tauraso. *New properties of multiple harmonic sums modulo p and p-analogues of Leshchiner's series.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 2013, **366**(6): 3131-3159.

[29] T.M. Rassias, H.M. Srivastava. *Some classes of infinite series associated with the Riemann zeta function and polygamma functions and generalized harmonic numbers.* Appl. Math. Comput., 2002, **131**: 593–605.

[30] A. Sofo. *Integral forms of sums associated with harmonic numbers.* Applied Mathematics and Computation., 2009, **207**(2): 365-372.

[31] A. Sofo. *Quadratic alternating harmonic number sums.* J. Number Theory., 2015, **154**: 144-159.

[32] A. Sofo, H.M. Srivastava. *Identities for the harmonic numbers and binomial coefficients.* Ramanujan J., 2011, **25**: 93-113.

[33] A. Sofo. *Harmonic sums and integral representations.* J. Appl. Anal., 2010, **16**: 265-277.

[34] Ce Xu, Jinfa Cheng. *Some results on Euler sums.* Functions et Approximatio., 2016, **54**(1): 25-37.

[35] Ce Xu, Yuhuan Yan, Zhijuan Shi. *Euler sums and integrals of polylogarithm functions.* J. Number Theory., 2016, **165**: 84-108.

[36] Chika Yamazaki. *On the duality for multiple zeta-star values of height one.* Kyushu Journal of Mathematics., 2009, **64**(1): 145-152.

[37] D. Zagier.*Values of zeta functions and their applications.* First European Congress of Mathematics, Volume II, Birkhauser, Boston., 1994, (120): 497-512.

[38] D. Zagier. *Evaluation of the multiple zeta values* $\zeta(2,...,2,3,2,...,2)$. Annals of Mathematics., 2012, **2**(2): 977-1000.

[39] J. Zhao. *On a conjecture of Borwein, Bradley and Broadhurst.* J. reine angew. Math., 2010, (639): 223-233.